



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,432	09/02/2004	Koon Seok Lee	7950.029.00-US	1283
30827	7590	01/28/2008	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			TRUONG, LECHI	
1900 K STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2194	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/28/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

MV

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/506,432	Applicant(s) LEE, KOON SEOK
Examiner LeChi Truong	Art Unit 2194	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: none.

Claim(s) objected to: none.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.
13. Other: _____.


SUPERIOR PATENT ATTORNEYS, P.C.
1000 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-2213
(202) 293-1000

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant amendment filed on 12/21/2007 has been considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicant argued in substance:

(1) " Hallenbeck does not disclose or suggest, in or any where in the disclose, inter alia " generating a file by the input control such that a format of the generated file depend on manufacturer of an application".

(2) " Hallenbeck does not distingguish betweeen the different types of applications".

Examiner respectfully traversed Applicant' s remarks:

As to point (1), Bione teaches a user enters information into a user interface 242. Interface 242 may typically be a keypad, but may also comprise a keyboard of a personal computer, a personal digital assistant, or even a telephone keypad. The information input by the user into interface 242 is received by data generator 244 that generates an instruction message to be transmitted to a receiver (para [0049], In 7-13), and Hallenbeck teaches can receive a packet that is formatted to direct a change in a state of the output. If the output is connected to premises-based apparatus, such as a heating system, appliance, or security system, the change in state of the output might be effected[depend on] to communicate with the premises-based apparatus, para[0008], In 1-9)/ the output packet is sent over the network, addressed and formatted to direct the change of state as required. In many cases this change of state is designed to effect[depend on] communication with premises based apparatus such as security systems, lighting systems, or HVAC controllers[a manufacturer of an application], para[0067], In 33-39)/ the change in the state indicated in the packet in order[depend on] to communicate with the premises-based apparatus[a manufacturer of an appliance], left col 12, In 41-43)/ since the packet must be formatted in order to communication with a premises-based apparatus, format of the packet depends on the premises-based apparatus.

As to the point (2), "not distingguish betweeen the different types of applications" was not in the claim.